Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/884,861	KELLER, ALEXANDER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Repko	2628
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Repko</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Jacobs</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 June 2006	Time: <u>12:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claims 11 and 13.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mul haule		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Repko asserted that claims 11 and 13 are directed to non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they recite an abstract rather than a practical application of an idea. Specifically, the method as recited in claims 11 and 13 in the amendment filed 5/23/2006 failed to use or make available for use the result of the "pixel value" computations to enable its functionality and usefulness to be realized. Applicant's representative suggested adding the language "generating a display controlling electronic output from the pixel value" to claims 11 and 13, and authorized the Examiner's amendment adding said language to the claims.